


## REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the allowance of claims 1-4 and 9-10 in this application. With respect to the current Office Action, Applicant has carefully reviewed and considered the Examiner's remarks concerning the rejection of claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Voser et al. Applicant has amended claim 5 herein to recite that the light scattering patterns are "disposed, printed or laminated" upon the pair of light guides. As stated in Applicant's previous response, the structures characterized as "light scattering patterns" in Voser are actually discrete light sources, such as LEDs. Such objects are clearly not disposed, printed or laminated upon a light guide, as recited by the claims. For at least these reasons, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claim 5.


In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would advance the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,



MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.  
P.O. Box 2903  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-0903  
(612) 332-5300

Date: June 23, 2006

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nicholas P. Johns  
Reg. No. 48,995

NPJ:ck